Rs 100/- (3)

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BILASPUR

WRIT PETITION NO. 2906 OF 2003

PETITIONER : Shiv Kumar Singh Thakur, Verma, S/o Late Shri Bhikhari Ram Verma, aged about 45 years, occupation – Service, Posted at Ekiknit Bal Vikas Pariyojana, Berla, Distt. Durg, R/o Berla, Distt. Durg (C.G)

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS : (1) Union of India , through the secretary Department of personal and training Khan Marketing New Delhi.

(2) State of Madhya Pradesh , through the Secretary Department of panchayat and social welfare Department Vallabh Bhawan Bhopal M.P.

(3) Commissioner proud shiksha sanchayalay , Near Pariyavas Bhawan M.P. Bhopal

(4) Commissioner Mahila and Bal Vikas Sanchalaya parivas Bhawan M.P. Bhopal.

(5) State of Chhattisgarh , through the secretary Department of Mahila and Bal Vikas D.K.S. Building Raipur (C.G.)

(6) Deputy Director, Mahila and Bal Vikas Raipur (C.G.)

(7) Director, Lok Shikshan Sanchnalay, Raipur (C.G.)

P. R. No. 22573/03
Presented by Shri Sunil Sahu
dated 12.09.03

Received Sheet
for V.V.S. Murty
on 11/9/03

03/9/03

RECEIVED COPY.
11/9/03
CLERK TO A.G. BILASPUR

(4)

WRIT PETITION U/A 226/227 OF THE CONSITITUTION OF INDIA

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

(134)

Single Bench: Hon'ble Mr. Justice **Satish K. Agnihotri**.

Writ Petition No. 2906 of 2003

Petitioner — Shiv Kumar Singh Thakur

Versus

Respondents — Union of India & others

Shri Sunil Sahu, Advocate for the petitioner.

Shri S. K. Beriwal, Standing counsel with Smt. B. Maithy, Advocate for Respondent No.1.

Shri Pankaj Shrivastava, Panel lawyer for respondents No. 5, 6 and 7.

None for the Respondents No. 2, 3 and 4.

ORAL ORDER

(16th February, 2006)

The following order of the Court was passed by **Satish K. Agnihotri, J.**

1. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submits that the petitioner, who is working on the post of Lower Division Clerk in the Ekikrit Bal Vikas Pariyojana, Berla, District - Durg, is a permanent resident of District- Rajnandgaon in the State of Chhattisgarh. The petitioner has been allocated to the State of Madhya Pradesh and he wants his reallocation to the State of Chhattisgarh. Learned counsel for the petitioner, on instruction, submits that the petitioner be granted liberty to make a representation to the respondents for redressal of his grievances. Learned counsel appearing for the respondents have no objection to the said submission.

2. In view of the foregoing, the petition stands disposed of finally, with liberty to the petitioner to make an appropriate representation to the respondents/authorities concerned, within a period of two weeks from today, and the respondents/authorities are directed to consider and decide the same, in an objective manner, in accordance with law, within a period of eight weeks from the date of receipt of the representation, if any.

Certified copy, as per Rules.

Sd/-
Satish K. Agnihotri
Judge